



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,450	06/28/2001	Naoto Arakawa	35.C15502	2135	
5514 7	7590 01/13/2006	01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER &	POON, KING Y			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/892,450	ARAKAWA, NAOTO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		King Y. Poon	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oc	ctober 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20 and 29-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-28 and 32-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖸	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/892,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20, 29-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 0/19/2005.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 10/19/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for the three inventions are the same. This is not found persuasive because it was shown a serious burden on the examiner by classifying the different inventions in different subclass and showing separate status in the art. The examiner agrees that there may perhaps be some related subject manner; however, there is greater amount of searching required in areas that are not related.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. This application contains claims 1-20, 29-31 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper filed on 10/19/2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2624

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 21-28, 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pepin et al (US 6,151,131).

Regarding claims 21, 27: Pepin teaches a controlling apparatus (4 and 7, fig. 2) comprising an input unit (4, fig. 2) adapted to input data from a remote (remote, column line 47) information processing apparatus (remote source, column 5, lines 63-67, fig. 7) which can selectively send data of a series of original (PDL, column 6,lines 1-5) including at least both of a specific page including a command (delayed instruction in one or more sheet of a print job, column 11, lines 15-20 and placeholder page, column 9, lines 64-67) for causing a printer apparatus (the whole apparatus of fig. 2) to perform a specific processing and a page where the command is not included (other pages that are not the placeholder page, column 10, lines 1-15) and data of a series of originals where the specific page is not included (no to 228, fig. 9, document that has no placeholders) and a controller (7, fig. 2) adapted to cause the printer apparatus to perform a printing process of input originals data from the remote information processing apparatus, wherein said controller causes the printer apparatus to perform the printing process of the data and causes the printer apparatus to perform the specific processing in case that the data input by said input unit is the data of the series of originals including at least both of the specific page including the command for causing

Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

the printer apparatus to perform the specific processing (e.g., obtaining an image from a remote location and integrated at a location designated by a placeholder, column 12, lines 15-40) and the page where the command is not included, and wherein said controller causes the printer apparatus to perform the printing process of the data without executing the specific processing in case that the data input by said input unit is the data of the series of originals where the specific page is not included (if the delay instruction is not included, the printing apparatus would not process the delay instruction because there are no delay instructions to be processed).

Regarding claims 22, 32: Pepin et al. teach an apparatus according to claim 21, wherein said controller causes the printer apparatus not to print the page to which the information indicating the instruction for the printer apparatus is added (fig 9, steps 228 and 230, & column 10 lines 58-65, when processing placeholders and delay instruction, the function of the placeholder, i.e. retrieval of the page to be added, is resolved, which prevents the printer from printing the instruction of the page).

Regarding claims 23, 33: Pepin et al. teach an apparatus according to claim 22, wherein said controller executes processing aimed for generating data, of which state is that an instruction added page in the original data input from the information processing apparatus is exchanged to a page of the original data from a scanner unit, as one document (column 10, lines 9-17, jobs are programmed on a segment-by-segment basis, wherein, column 10, lines 55-65, a segment containing a place holder is resolved, i.e. the retrieval of the document to replace the instruction is executed, and, column

Application/Control Number: 09/892,450

Art Unit: 2624

11:lines 3-5, the entire job is stored or output for printing. Column 8, lines 25-32, placeholder data can be from scanner 6, or a remote scanner, column 12, lines 40-45).

Regarding claims 24, 34: Pepin et al. teach an apparatus according to claim 23, wherein said controller prints data obtained by performing an exchange between the instruction added page in the original data input from the information processing apparatus and the page of the original data from the scanner unit as one document (column 11, lines 3-5, the entire job is stored or output for printing. Column 8, lines 25-32, column 12, lines 40-45, placeholder data can be from scanner. Column 6, lines 64-67, printer prints in accordance with parameters from control means 7).

Regarding claims 25, 35: Pepin et al. teach an apparatus according to claim 23, wherein said controller stores data obtained by performing an exchange between the instruction added page in the original data input from the information processing apparatus and the page of the original data from the scanner unit into storage means as one document (column 11:lines 3-5, the entire job is stored or output for printing after segment-by-segment processing for placeholders and non-placeholders. Column 8:lines 25-32, column 12, lines 40-45, placeholder data can be from scanner).

Regarding claims 26, 36: Pepin et al. teach an apparatus according to claim 22, wherein the image formation apparatus has storage means (fig 2, main memory 56) for previously storing sheets on which images were formed (column 7:lines 33-37, main memory 56 stores sheets being processed), and said controller causes the image formation apparatus to print the pages other than the page, to which the information indicating the instruction for the image formation apparatus is added, included in the

Application/Control Number: 09/892,450 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

data of a series of the originals and the sheets on which images were formed are previously fed from said storage means in accordance with a fact that the page judged to exist in the input data of the series of originals is the page to which the information indicating the instruction for the image formation apparatus is added, then processing for inserting the fed sheet among sheets, on which images of the pages other than the page to which the information indicating the instruction is added are formed, is executed on the basis of the instruction (column 8:lines 25-32, print jobs may be derived from multiple sources, i.e. previously stored documents and insert originals. Column 9:lines 64-67, placeholder pages indicate pages to be added in a submitted printer job. In this case, the placeholder and delay instructions indicates that the sheets in the storage means will be combined with the series of originals at placeholder indicators. Column 10:line 66 - column 11:line 5, each segment, in this case the segments for originals and for stored sheets, is processed and formed to one print job for printing or storage).

Regarding claim 28: Pepin teaches a computer readable storage medium which stores program for the method and apparatus disclosed in claims 21, 27 (column 7, lines 34-36).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument that Pepin does not teach an input unit adapted to input data from a remote information processing apparatus, has been considered.

In reply: Column 5, lines 62-67, teaches an input unit adapted to input data from a remote site. Fig. 7, column 8, lines 25-65 teaches the remote site would be a PC, column 8, line 49 or a Xerox 6085 workstation, column 8, line 61, i.e., a remote information processing apparatus.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,450 Page 8

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 6, 2006

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER